

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 NATHAN CAMPBELL,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF CLARK
10 COUNTY, et al.,

11 Defendants.
12

)
)
) 2:15-cv-01893-RFB-CWH
)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

13 On March 30, 2017, the court entered an order denying Plaintiff Nathan Campbell Sr.'s
14 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* without prejudice. (Order (ECF No. 12).) The order
15 required Plaintiff to file a complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or to pay the \$400.00
16 fee for filing a civil action by May 1, 2017. (*Id.* at 6.) The court advised Plaintiff that failure to
17 comply with its order may result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. (*Id.*) Plaintiff has
18 not taken any action in this case since the court's order dated March 30, 2017, and he therefore
19 appears to have abandoned this case.

20 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff Nathan Campbell Sr.'s case be
21 DISMISSED without prejudice.

22 NOTICE

23 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned to
24 this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation may
25 file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served with
26 this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive

1 the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

2

3 DATED: May 4, 2017

4

5

6



C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26